## **Patient selection**

Preoperative assessment of patients scheduled for day surgery

Turku April 25th

### Jan G. Jakobsson

MD, PhD, Adj Professor Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Institution for Physiology & Pharmacology Karolinska Institutet Deprt Anaesthesia & Intensive Care Danderyds University Hospital Stockholm SWEDEN Jan.Jakobsson@ki.se

### Preoperative assessment

Exchange of information
Patient to healthcare
Healthcare to patients
Optimisation of medical conditions
Planning for optimal care

Suitable or not suitable?

### Patient selection;

benefit of surgery vs. risk associated to surgery/anaesthesia

- Inpatient care
  - Better observation
    - Handling of early complications

### Day surgery

- Early ambulation
  - Reduced risk for immobilisation associated adverse effects
    - □ Thromboembolic
    - Hospital acquired infections
    - Change of environment



Meeting 7 February 1975

### **Day-case Anæsthesia**

-

Dr R E Loder (Peterborough District Hospital, Peterborough, PE3 6DA)

**Problems of Organization** 

The organization of departments which appear to be far removed from the operating theatre has to be considered. These include the ambulance service, the radiological and hæmatological departments, the pharmacy, the day ward staff and, by no means of least importance, the portering staff who bring and fetch from the day theatre. All this applies equally to those hospitals where day surgery of necessity involves the main theatre and ordinary ward nursing staff.

## Day surgery selection aspects





## ESA Guidelines 2011



### This summary is reproduced by kind permission of the European Journal of Anaesthesiology. The full guideline is to be cited as:

De Hert S, Imberger G, Carlisle J, Diemunsch P, Fritsch G, Moppett I, Solca M, Ständer S, Wappler F, Smith AF. Guidelines for preoperative evaluation of the adult non-cardiac surgery patient; The Task Force on Preoperative Evaluation of the Adult Patient of the European Society of Anaesthesiology. *European Journal of Anaesthesiology* 2011; 28: 684-722.



#### Table Grades of recommendation used in the guideline

A at least one meta-analysis, systematic review of RCTs, or RCT rated as 1++
 AND directly applicable to the target population

#### or

a body of evidence consisting principally of studies rated as 1+, directly applicable to the target population AND with an overall consistency of results a body of evidence including studies rated as 2++, directly applicable to the

target population AND with an overall consistency of results

#### or

В

D

extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 1++ or 1+

C a body of evidence including studies rated as 2+, directly applicable to the target population AND with an overall consistency of results

#### or

extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2++

evidence level 3 or 4

#### or

extrapolated evidence from studies rated as 2+

## ESA

#### 1. How, when, and by whom should patients be evaluated pre-operatively?

1. Pre-operative standardized questionnaires may be helpful in improving anaesthesia evaluation in a variety of situations. (grade of recommendation: **D**)

2. If a pre-operative questionnaire is implemented, great care should be exerted in its design (grade of recommendation: **D**), and computer based version should be used whenever possible (grade of recommendation: **C**).

3. Pre-operative evaluation should be carried out with sufficient time before the scheduled procedure to allow for the implementation of any advisable pre-operative intervention aimed at improving patient outcome. (grade of recommendation: **D**)

4. Pre-operative assessment should at least be completed by an anaesthetist (grade of recommendation **D**), but the screening of patients could be carried out effectively by either trained nurses (grade of recommendation **C**) or anaesthesia trainees (grade of recommendation **D**).

5. A pharmacy personnel member may usefully be included in the pre-operative assessment, in order to reduce discrepancies in postoperative drug orders. (grade of recommendation **C**)

6. There is insufficient evidence to recommend that the preferred model is that a patient should be seen by the same anaesthetist from pre-operative assessment through to anaesthesia administration. (grade of recommendation D)

What are the **evidence** for safe and efficacious day surgery?

 The experience from the Public Domain literature
 Analysis of the practice and performance

## Assessment and selection of patients for day surgery in a public hospital.

- Med J Aust. 1993 Mar 1;158(5):308-12. Rudkin GE, Osborne GA, Doyle CE.
- OBJECTIVE:
- To describe methods used for adult patient assessment and selection for day case surgery at a major Australian teaching hospital.
- DESIGN:
- A prospective analysis of assessment data and information from the unit's computerised database.
- PATIENTS AND SETTING:
- Five thousand day patients consecutively admitted to the Day Surgical Unit, Royal Adelaide Hospital.
- MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES:
- The proportion of patients assessed only by trained nursing assessment staff was determined and compared with that for patients requiring additional anaesthetic assessment before surgery. The percentage of patients requiring preoperative investigations was established. Unanticipated hospital admission rates were calculated for surgical, anaesthesia-related and social reasons.
- RESULTS:
- After initial screening by a trained assessment nurse, 46% of patients required additional review by an anaesthetist before the day of surgery. The remaining 54% were assessed by an anaesthetist on the day of surgery. Preoperative investigations were ordered by anaesthetists in 8.5% of all day patients. The assessment methods outlined resulted in a 1.28% rate of unanticipated hospital admissions. This admission rate was mostly caused by complications of surgery (0.94%). Only 0.12% of patients were admitted for anaesthesia-related reasons and 0.14% were admitted for social reasons.
- CONCLUSIONS:
- This cost-effective system allows suitable patients and procedures to be identified, with a satisfactory unanticipated hospital admission rate. Satisfactory assessment also results in short preoperative waiting periods, fewer cancellations, reduced postoperative problems and more satisfied patients. The development of an efficient assessment system is aided by the use of a dedicated day surgery area with experienced nursing staff, the use of a comprehensive patient questionnaire and coordination by experienced day surgery anaesthetists.

## An analysis of computer-assisted pre-screening prior to elective surgery.

- Anaesth Intensive Care. 2012 Mar;40(2):297-304.
- Grant C, Ludbrook GL, O'Loughlin EJ, Corcoran TB.
- In order to assess the potential utility of guided patient self-assessment as an early preoperative triage tool, a computer-assisted questionnaire delivered by a non-clinician via telephone was 1) compared to face-to-face interview and examination by anaesthetists in outpatient clinics and 2) evaluated as a mechanism to stream patients to day of surgery assessment. In total, 514 patients scheduled for elective surgery in two tertiary public hospitals were assessed initially by telephone and then in an outpatient clinic. Both forms of assessment were marked by panels of specialist anaesthetists, who also provided an opinion on which patients would have been suitable to bypass preoperative anaesthetic outpatient assessment based upon information provided by the telephone interview. Overall, the quality of assessment provided by non-clinician telephone interview was comparable to face-to-face interview by anaesthetists, although more complex issues required face-to-face assessment.
- Panel review considered that 398 patients (60%) would not have required evaluation by an anaesthetist until the day of surgery, thus avoiding the need to separately attend a preoperative outpatient clinic.
- The sensitivity of telephone interview provided information to correctly classify patients as suitable for day of surgery evaluation was 98% (95% confidence interval 96 to 99%) with a specificity of 97% (95% confidence interval 92 to 98%).
- This study demonstrates that remote computer-assisted assessment can produce quality patient health information and enable early patient work-up and triage with the potential to reduce costs through more efficient use of resources.

# Safety, efficacy, quality cost-benefit...

### Mortality and morbidity

- pain
- PONV
- □ Time to discharge
  - Need for hospital admission
  - Return to hospital

### MORTALITY IN ANESTHESIA: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

Leandro Gobbo Braz,<sup>1</sup> Danilo Gobbo Braz,<sup>11</sup> Deyvid Santos da Cruz,<sup>1</sup> Luciano Augusto Fernandes,<sup>1</sup> Norma Sueli Pinheiro Módolo,<sup>1</sup> José Reinaldo Cerqueira Braz<sup>1</sup>

- The majority of perioperative deaths occurred in neonates, children under one year, elderly patients, males, patients of <u>ASA III physical status or poorer, emergency</u> <u>surgeries</u>,
- during general anesthesia, and cardiac surgery followed by thoracic, vascular, gastroenterologic, pediatric and orthopedic surgeries.
- The main causes of anesthesia-related mortality were problems with airway management and cardiocirculatory

events related to anesthesia and drug administration.

CLINICS 2009;64(10):999-1006

### Surgical Risk Factors, Morbidity, and Mortality in Elderly Patients

Florence E Turrentine, PhD, Hongkun Wang, PhD, Virginia B Simpson, NP, MS, R Scott Jones, MD, FACS



J Am Coll Surg 2006;203:865–877.



Age in Years Figure 3. Surgical morbidity by decade.





Age in Years Figure 4. Surgical mortality by decade.

## Aging

- Natural process
- Changes in body composition and function
- Aging is unique,

huge individual differences

# Physiological and cognitive capacity



### Mortalitet - anestesi

Anesthetsiology 2002;



いっていた 日本の日本 ない日本のないない ひろい

## The elderly

- Social circumstances
  - □ Living alone
  - How do he/she manage activities of daily living
    - Cocking
    - Eating
    - Self-care
  - Social network

## Age and function



ESA 2010









#### FIGURE 3 Frequency of intraoperative and postoperative adverse events by age in different types of surgery. (Solid bars: patients 65 yr and older; open bars: patients under 65 yr.)

#### Reports of Investigation

Adverse events in ambulatory surgery. A comparison between elderly and younger patients

Frances Chung FRCPC, Gabor Mezei MD PhD, Doris Tong FRCPC

# Outcome after day-care surgery in a major teaching hospital.

- Outcome has been measured for 6000 consecutive procedures in a major public teaching hospital day surgery unit.
  - The unanticipated hospital admission rate was 1.34%
  - surgery-related admissions (0.95%) exceeded those related to anaesthesia (0.13%).

Rudkin Anaesth Intensive Care. 1993

# Outcome after day-care surgery in a major teaching hospital.

Outcome has been measured for 6000 consecutive procedures in a major public teaching hospital day surgery unit.

Perioperative complications related to surgery (1:105) were more frequent than those related to anaesthesia (1:176) and pre-existing medical problems (1:500).

Rudkin Anaesth Intensive Care. 1993

# Outcome after day-care surgery in a major teaching hospital.

- Outcome has been measured for 6000 consecutive procedures in a major public teaching hospital day surgery unit.
  - □ Anaesthesia-related complications;
  - general anaesthesia (1:114)
  - regional anaesthesia (1:180
  - Iocal anaesthesia plus sedation (1:780)

- A total of 38,598 patients aged 18 years and older undergoing 45,090 consecutive ambulatory procedures and anesthetics.
- Contact rates for 72 hours and 30 days were 99.94% and 95.9%, respectively.

- Thirty-three patients either experienced major morbidity or died (1:1366 [proportional risk]).
- Four patients died (1:11,273),
  - two of myocardial infarction
  - two in automobile accidents.
- No patient died of a medical complication within 1 week of surgery.

- Of the 31 patients who developed a major morbidity (1:1455),
  - 14 (45%) had myocardial infarction (1:3220),
  - 7 (23%) had a central nervous system deficit (1:6441),
  - 5 (16%) had pulmonary embolism (1:9018),
  - 5 (16%) had respiratory failure (1:9018).

- 4 events (13%) occurred within 8 hours of surgery (1:11,273),
- <u>15 (48%) in the next 40 hours</u> (1:3006),
- 12 (39%) in the next 28 days (1:3758).

## Return hospital visits and hospital readmissions after ambulatory surgery.

Mezei G, Chung F. Ann Surg. 1999 Nov;230(5):721-7.

- Preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative data were collected on 17,638 consecutive patients undergoing ambulatory surgery at a major ambulatory surgical center in Toronto, Ontario.
- Return hospital visits and hospital readmissions occurring in Ontario within 30 days after the ambulatory surgery.
  - Return visits were categorized as emergency room visits, ambulatory surgical unit admissions, or inpatient admissions.
    - The readmissions were categorized as those resulting from surgical, medical, or anesthesia-related complications or those not related to the ambulatory surgery.

## Return hospital visits and hospital readmissions after ambulatory surgery.

Mezei G, Chung F. Ann Surg. **1999** Nov;230(5):721-7.

- 193 readmissions occurred within 30 days after ambulatory surgery (readmission rate 1.1%).
  - 6 patients returned to the emergency room,
  - 178 patients were readmitted to the ambulatory surgical unit,
  - 9 patients were readmitted as inpatients.

## Return hospital visits and hospital readmissions after ambulatory surgery.

Mezei G, Chung F. Ann Surg. 1999 Nov;230(5):721-7.

- 25 readmissions were the result of surgical complications,
- 1 resulted from a medical complication (pulmonary embolism).
  - The complication-related readmission rate was 0.15% (1 in 678 procedures).
  - The complication rate was significantly higher among patients undergoing transurethral resection of bladder tumor (5.7%).
  - No anesthesia-related readmissions or deaths were identified.

Return hospital visits and morbidity within 60 days after day surgery:

a retrospective study of 18,736 day surgical procedures.

Engbaek J et al. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. (2006)

### From two centres, 16,048 patients underwent 18,736 day surgery operations

- including 4,829 surgical abortions.
- Patients were retrospectively analysed for contacts to Danish hospitals within
   60 post-operative days and the associated morbidity and mortality.

Return hospital visits and morbidity within 60 days after day surgery:

a retrospective study of 18,736 day surgical procedures. Engbaek J et al. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. (2006)

- Altogether 113 patients (not including the surgical abortions) were readmitted to hospitals with 117 complications definitely or likely related to day surgery.
- The most common complications were haematomas or haemorrhage (0.40%) and infections (0.29%).
Return hospital visits and morbidity within 60 days after day surgery:

a retrospective study of 18,736 day surgical procedures. Engbaek J et al. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. (2006)

- Morbidity after the two most common procedures,
  - hernia repair 1:39 patients
  - knee arthroscopy 1:220 patients,
- More serious complications included
  - four patients with septic arthritis of the knee
  - six patients with venous thromboembolism.

## Day surgery in Finland: a prospective cohort study of 14 day-surgery units.

Mattila K, Hynynen M; FOR THE INTENSIUM CONSORTIUM STUDY GROUP. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. **2009 Feb 23.** 

- Quality of care was assessed by analyzing the rates and reasons for;
  - overnight admission,
  - readmission,
  - reoperation,
  - cancellations.
- Satisfaction of care was inquired from day- surgery patients during a 2-week period.

## Day surgery in Finland: a prospective cohort study of 14 day-surgery units.

Mattila K, Hynynen M; FOR THE INTENSIUM CONSORTIUM STUDY GROUP. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. **2009 Feb 23.** 

- Unplanned overnight admissions was 5.9%.
- Return hospital visits were reported in 3.7%
- Readmissions in 0.7% of patients 1-28 days post-operatively.
- Patient satisfaction was high.

Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2012; 56: 323–331 Printed in Singapore. All rights reserved © 2012 The Authors Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica © 2012 The Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica Foundation

ACTA ANAESTHESIOLOGICA SCANDINAVICA doi: 10.1111/j.1399-6576.2011.02631.x

## Is day surgery safe? A Danish multicentre study of morbidity after 57,709 day surgery procedures

#### B. Majholm<sup>1</sup>, J. Engbæk<sup>1</sup>, J. Bartholdy<sup>1</sup>, H. Oerding<sup>2</sup>, P. Ahlburg<sup>3</sup>, A.-M. G. Ulrik<sup>4</sup>, L. Bill<sup>5</sup>, C. S. Langfrits<sup>6</sup> and A. M. Møller<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark, <sup>2</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Vejle Hospital, Vejle, Denmark, <sup>3</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology Day Surgery Unit, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark, <sup>4</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Hospital of Southern Jutland, Aabenraa, Denmark, <sup>5</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Regional Hospital, Herning, Denmark and <sup>6</sup>Department of Anaesthesiology, Regional Hospital, Horsens, Denmark

**Conclusion:** This large-scale Danish national study confirmed that day surgery is associated with a very low rate of return hospital visits. Despite the rapid expansion of day surgery, safety has been maintained, major morbidity being very rare, and no deaths being definitely related to day surgery.

Is day surgery safe? A Danish multicentre study of morbidity after 57,709 day surgery procedures.

#### **RESULTS:**

- The overall rate of return hospital visits was 1.21% [95% confidence interval (CI): 1.12-1.30%] caused by a wide range of diagnoses.
- No deaths were definitely related to day surgery.
- The return hospital visits were due to;
  - □ haemorrhage/haematoma 0.50% (95% CI: 0.44-0.56%),
  - □ infection 0.44% (95% CI: 0.38-0 49%)
  - □ thromboembolic events 0.03%.
- Major morbidity was rare.
- The surgical procedures with the highest rate of complication were
  - □ tonsillectomies 11.4%,
  - □ surgically induced abortions 3.13%
  - □ inguinal hernia repairs 1.23%.



Time from day surgery to first hospital contact among patients with complications that were definitely or likely related to the procedure.

Engbæk J et al. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2006; 50: 911-919 [3]



The Royal College of Surgeons of England

#### **HPB SURGERY**

Ann R Coll Surg Engl 2009; 91: 583–590 doi 10.1308/003588409X432365

Introduction of a day-case laparoscopic cholecystectomy service in the UK: a critical analysis of factors influencing same-day discharge and contact with primary care providers

CD BRIGGS<sup>1</sup>, GB IRVING<sup>1</sup>, CD MANN<sup>2</sup>, A CRESSWELL<sup>1</sup>, L ENGLERT<sup>5</sup>, M PETERSON<sup>1</sup>, IC CAMERON<sup>1</sup>

# Can we select patients that we be or not be day cases?

|                                      | All patients | Successful day-case | Admitted   | P-value            |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|------------|--------------------|
|                                      | (n = 106)    | (n = 89)            | (n = 17)   |                    |
| Sex ratio M/F                        | 14/92        | 13/76               | 1/16       | 0.330ª             |
| Age (years)                          | 42 [19-76]   | 41 [21–76]          | 42 [19-64] | 0.653 <sup>b</sup> |
| ASA-score 1 and 2                    | 43/63        | 37/52               | 6/11       | 0.730ª             |
| Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) | 28 [18-38]   | 28 [18-38]          | 28 [21-37] | 0.418 <sup>b</sup> |

ASA, American Society of Anesthesiologists. <sup>a</sup>Chi-squared test; <sup>b</sup>Mann–Whitney test.

## Can we predict success?

| Table 5 Analysis of demographic and peri-operative factors and relation to the need for overnight admission |              |                   |            |                    |              |  |
|---|--------------|-------------------|------------|--------------------|--------------|--|
|   | All patients | Successful        | Admitted   | P-v                | alue         |  |
|   | (n = 106)    | day-case (n = 89) | (n = 17)   | UVA                | MVA          |  |
| Age ≥ 60 years  | 12           | 9                 | 3          | 0.369              | NS           |  |
| BMI ≥ 35 kg/m <sup>2</sup>  | 7            | 6                 | 1          | 0.896              | NS           |  |
| NSAID in pre-medication   | 78           | 69                | 9          | 0.035              | 0.032        |  |
|   |              |                   |            |                    | [OR = 9.178] |  |
| Operation by training grade surgeon   | 33           | 26                | 7          | 0.329              | NS           |  |
| Cholecystitis at operation/previous pancreat  | titis 19     | 16                | 3          | 0.604              | NS           |  |
| Previous deranged liver function  | 5            | 3                 | 2          | 0.017              | NS           |  |
| Mean operation time min [range]   | 62 [15-120]  | 62 [15-120]       | 66 [35-90] | 0.369 <sup>b</sup> | NS           |  |
| Operative complication/difficulty   | 15           | 12                | 3          | 0.652              | NS           |  |
| Operation commenced after 10 am   | 30           | 26                | 4          | 0.634              | NS           |  |
| Intravenous opiate in recovery  | 43           | 34                | 9          | 0.257              | NS           |  |
| Intravenous antiemetic in recovery  | 10           | 10                | 0          | 0.146              | NS           |  |
| Hypotension requiring fluid bolus   | 6            | 3                 | 3          | 0.020              | NS           |  |
| O <sub>2</sub> saturation < 95% in recovery   | 20           | 13                | 7          | 0.010              | NS           |  |
| Anti-emetic on the ward   | 18           | 14                | 4          | 0.433              | NS           |  |

## Admission why?

| Table 2 Reason for overnight admission     |              |
|--|--------------|
| Reason for admission                       | Patients (n) |
| Oxygen saturation < 93% on air             | 5            |
| Pain requiring parenteral opioid analgesia | 4            |
| Conversion to open operation               | 2            |
| Drain inserted                             | 1            |
| Nausea and vomiting                        | 2            |
| Acute retention of urine (female)          | 1            |
| Sinus tachycardia                          | 1            |
| History of sickle cell trait               | 1            |
|  |              |



#### Day case assessment guide



#### **Procedure-specific risk**

- High-risk surgery (the highest-risk category and also the most extensively studied), which has been associated with cardiac morbidity rates of greater than 5% in many reports.
  - Examples include aortic and other major vascular surgery, as well as peripheral vascular surgery.
- Intermediate-risk surgery, for which reported cardiac morbidity rates range from 1% to 5%.
  - Examples include intraperitoneal and intrathoracic procedures, carotid endarterectomy, head and neck surgery, orthopedic surgery, and prostate surgery.

## Low-risk surgery, for which reported cardiac morbidity rates are below 1%.

Examples include endoscopic and superficial procedures, cataract surgery, breast surgery, and ambulatory surgery.

## Day surgery



Minor "superficial surgery"

"laparoscopy, including an anastomosis"

Urology

#### **Surgical risk**

#### Surgery

- □ Part of body
- Need for relaxation
- Body position

#### Surgical risk factors

- Risk for bleed
- Surgical site related risk;
  - □ leakage from anastomosies etc.
- Infectious risk;

need for intense anti-biotic therapy

Preference of the surgeon

## Day care centre





#### Facility related risks "distance to multi disciplinary support in emergent situations"

- Free standing day case unit
- Day Case unit in hsopital
- Integrated part of general operating department

## Surgeon

#### Responsable for the surgical proceudre and thus the ebenfit vs. Risk

#### Day case assessment guide



# Patient related factors that may have an impact on *adverse outcome*

- Age
- ASA functional status
  - Medical history & concomitant medication
- Family/social network
  - □ Distance between surgical facility and home of patient
- Patients experience and expectations
  - "informed consent"

## ASA classification

#### Box 2 ASA grades

ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) grades are a simple scale describing fitness to undergo an anaesthetic. The ASA clearly states that it does not endorse any elaboration of these definitions. However, anaesthetists in the UK often qualify (or interpret) these grades as relating to functional capacity – that is comorbidity that does not (ASA Grade 2) or that does (ASA Grade 3) limit a patient's activity (see Box 3).

| ASA Grade 1 | "Normal healthy patient" (that is without any clinically<br>important comorbidity and without clinically significant<br>past/present medical history) |
|-------------|---|
| ASA Grade 2 | "A patient with mild systemic disease"  |
| ASA Grade 3 | "A patient with severe systemic disease"  |
| ASA Grade 4 | "A patient with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life"  |

#### A subjective scale

#### **AHA elavuation algorithm**



#### Assessment of functional capacity

# ≥4 METS Can you; Climb a flight of stairs or walk uphill? Walk on level ground at 6.5 km/h Or more

## NICE

#### NHS

National Institute for Clinical Excellence

#### **Preoperative tests**

The use of routine preoperative tests for elective surgery

## NICE

The recommendations are colour-coded in a similar way to traffic lights.



Test not recommended

Test to be considered (the value of carrying out a preoperative test is not known, and may depend on specific patient characteristics)

Test recommended

| Box 1 Surgery grades      |   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|
|                           | Example   |  |  |  |
| Grade 1 (minor)           | Excision of lesion of skin; drainage of breast abscess  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2<br>(intermediate) | Primary repair of inguinal hernia; excision of varicose vein(s)<br>of leg; tonsillectomy/adenotonsillectomy; knee arthroscopy |  |  |  |
| Grade 3<br>(major)        | Total abdominal hysterectomy; endoscopic resection of<br>prostate; lumbar discectomy; thyroidectomy                           |  |  |  |
| Grade 4<br>(major+)       | Total joint replacement; lung operations; colonic resection;<br>radical neck dissection                                       |  |  |  |
| Neurosurgery              | -   |  |  |  |
| Cardiovascular<br>surgery | -   |  |  |  |

## NICE

#### Box 2 ASA grades

ASA (American Society of Anesthesiologists) grades are a simple scale describing fitness to undergo an anaesthetic. The ASA clearly states that it does not endorse any elaboration of these definitions. However, anaesthetists in the UK often qualify (or interpret) these grades as relating to functional capacity – that is comorbidity that does not (ASA Grade 2) or that does (ASA Grade 3) limit a patient's activity (see Box 3).

| ASA Grade 1 | "Normal healthy patient" (that is without any clinically<br>important comorbidity and without clinically significant<br>past/present medical history) |
|-------------|---|
| ASA Grade 2 | "A patient with mild systemic disease"  |
| ASA Grade 3 | "A patient with severe systemic disease"  |
| ASA Grade 4 | "A patient with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life"  |

## **Grade 2 surgery**

| ASA Grades   |  |                             | Age                       | (years)               |      |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------|
| Grade 1 Normal healthy<br>patient (i.e. without any<br>clinically important<br>comorbidity and without<br>a clinically significant | Test   | ≥ 16 to < 40                | ≥ 40 to < 60              | ≥ 60 to < 80          | ≥ 80 |
| past/present medical<br>history).  | Chest X-ray  | No                          | No                        | No                    | No   |
|  | ECG  | No                          |                           |                       | Yes  |
| Grade 2 Patient with<br>mild systemic disease.   | Full blood count   | No                          |                           | Yes                   | Yes  |
| mild systemic disease.   | Haemostasis  | No                          | No                        | No                    | No   |
| Grade 3 A patient with<br>severe systemic disease  | Renal function   | No                          | No                        |                       |      |
| but the disease is not a   | Random glucose   | No                          |                           |                       |      |
| constant threat to life.   | Urine analysis*  |                             |                           |                       |      |
| See pages 3–4 for<br>more information.   | <sup>*</sup> Dipstick urine testing<br>recommended (UK Nat | in asymptor<br>ional Screer | natic indivi<br>ning Comm | duals is no<br>ittee) | t    |

#### ASA Grade 1: adults ≥ 16 years

9 NICE guideline - Preoperative tests

### Grade 2 surgery continued

#### ASA Grade 2: adults with comorbidity from cardiovascular disease





## **Grade 3 surgery**

| ASA Grades   |  |                             | Age                       | (years)                |      |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------|
| Grade 1 Normal healthy<br>patient (i.e. without any<br>clinically important<br>comorbidity and without<br>a clinically significant | Test   | ≥ 16 to < 40                | ≥ 40 to < 60              | ≥ 60 to < 80           | ≥ 80 |
| past/present medical<br>history).  | Chest X-ray  | No                          | No                        |                        |      |
|  | ECG  | No                          |                           | Yes                    | Yes  |
| Grade 2 Patient with<br>mild systemic disease.   | Full blood count   | Yes                         | Yes                       | Yes                    | Yes  |
| nina systemic disease.   | Haemostasis  | No                          | No                        | No                     | No   |
| Grade 3 A patient with<br>severe systemic disease  | Renal function   |                             |                           | Yes                    | Yes  |
| but the disease is not a   | Random glucose   |                             |                           |                        |      |
| constant threat to life.   | Urine analysis <sup>*</sup>                                |                             |                           |                        |      |
| See pages 3–4 for<br>more information.   | <sup>*</sup> Dipstick urine testing<br>recommended (UK Nat | in asymptor<br>ional Screer | natic indivi<br>ning Comm | duals is not<br>ittee) | t    |

#### ASA Grade 1: adults ≥ 16 years

#### 13 NICE guideline - Preoperative tests

# What needs to be done prior to day surgery

Ambulatory Anesthesiology Section Editor: Peter S. A. Glass

#### **Elimination of Preoperative Testing in Ambulatory Surgery**

Frances Chung, FRCPC Hongbo Yuan, PhD Ling Yin, MSc Santhira Vairavanathan, MBBS David T. Wong, MD **BACKGROUND:** Preoperative testing has been criticized as having little impact on perioperative outcomes. We conducted a randomized, single-blind, prospective, controlled pilot study to determine whether indicated preoperative testing can be eliminated without increasing the perioperative incidence of adverse events in selected patients undergoing ambulatory surgery.

METHODS: One thousand sixty-one eligible patients were randomized either to have indicated preoperative testing or no preoperative testing. In the indicated testing group, patients received indicated preoperative testing: a complete blood count, electrolytes, blood glucose, creatinine, electrocardiogram, and chest radiograph according to the Ontario Preoperative Testing Grid as per current practice, whereas in the no testing group, no testing was ordered. The investigators, data collectors,

Anesth & Analg 2009; 108: 467-75

## ..the need for preop. testing

Table 2. Intraoperative and Postoperative Adverse Events Within 30 Days

|   | No testing<br>(n = 499)<br>n(%) | Testing<br>( $n = 527$ )<br>n (%) | Relative risk<br>(95% CI) <sup>a</sup> |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Intraoperative event                          | 7 (14.0)                        | 7 (13.3)                          | 1.0 (0.4-3.0)                          |
| Postoperative event <sup>b</sup>              | 16 (32.1)                       | 21 (39.8)                         | 0.8(0.4-1.5)                           |
| Unanticipated admission                       | 7 (14.0)                        | 12 (22.8)                         | 0.6 (0.2-1.6)                          |
| Others  | 9 (1.8)                         | 9 (1.7)                           | 1.0 (0.4-2.6)                          |
| Hospital revisits ( $\leq 7$ d) event         | 11 (22.0)                       | 27 (51.2)                         | 0.4(0.2-0.9)                           |
| Readmission                                   | 0 (0)                           | 0 (0)                             |  |
| Other visits                                  | 11 (22.0)                       | 27 (51.2)                         | 0.4(0.2-0.9)                           |
| Hospital revisits (8–30 d) <sup>c</sup> event | 11 (22.0)                       | 16 (30.4)                         | 0.7 (0.3-1.6)                          |
| Readmission                                   | 2 (4.0)                         | 3 (5.7)                           | 0.7(0.1-4.2)                           |
| Other visits                                  | 10 (20.0)                       | 14 (26.6)                         | 0.8 (0.3-1.7)                          |

| 12   | Intraoperative event |               | Postoperativ     | ve event <sup>a</sup>                   |
|--|----------------------|---------------|------------------|---|
|  | No testing (499)     | Testing (527) | No testing (499) | Testing (527)                           |
| Cardiovascular                               |                      | 29.50X 18     |                  | 111111111111111111111111111111111111111 |
| Dysrhythmia                                  | 1                    | 2             | 1                | 0                                       |
| Hypertension                                 | 1                    | 0             | 1                | 2                                       |
| Hypotension                                  | 0                    | 0             | 0                | 1                                       |
| Respiratory/Airway                           |                      |               |                  |   |
| Hypoxemia                                    | 0                    | 1             | 0                | 1                                       |
| Laryngospasm                                 | 2                    | 0             | 0                | 0                                       |
| Bronchospasm                                 | 1                    | 0             | 0                | 0                                       |
| Difficult Intubation/Intubated on<br>arrival | 1                    | 3             | 0                | 1                                       |
| Others                                       |                      |               |                  |   |
| Inadequate pain control                      | 0                    | 0             | 3                | 5                                       |
| Nausea/vomiting                              | 0                    | 0             | 4                | 3                                       |
| Urinary retention                            | 0                    | 0             | 1                | 1                                       |
| Dizziness                                    | 0                    | 0             | 1                | 2                                       |
| Drowsiness                                   | 0                    | 0             | 0                | 1                                       |
| Other <sup>b</sup>                           | 1                    | 1             | 7                | 8                                       |

 Table 3. Diagnoses Associated with Intraoperative and Postoperative Adverse Events

<sup>3</sup> One advance suppliers accessingly with more than one disease

bet.

|                               | 7 d              |               | 30 d             |               |
|-------------------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
|                               | No testing (499) | Testing (527) | No testing (499) | Testing (527) |
| Severe pain                   | 3                | 17            | 2                | 6             |
| Bleeding                      | 1                | 3             | 0                | 2             |
| Infection                     | 2                | 9             | 6                | 2             |
| Urine retention               | 1                | 2             | 0                | 0             |
| Other related medical problem | 5                | 1             | 5                | 8             |

#### Table 4. Reasons for Hospital Revisit<sup>a</sup>

ĿА

8 Ann and instrument have many them and many to stall be added

#### Disease and limited fucntional capaicty

|                                  | Intraoperative        | Intraoperative adverse events |                       | Postoperative adverse events |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Baseline medical status          | No testing $n (\%)^b$ | Testing $n (\%)^b$            | No testing $n (\%)^b$ | Testing $n (\%)^{b}$         |  |  |
| ASA                              |                       |                               |                       |                              |  |  |
| Ι                                | 0                     | 0                             | 2/144 (13.9)          | 6/134 (44.8)                 |  |  |
| II                               | 6/297 (20.2)          | 4/327 (12.2)                  | 11/297 (37.0)         | 13/327 (39.8)                |  |  |
| III                              | 1/58 (17.2)           | 3/66 (45.4)                   | 3/58 (51.7)           | 2/66 (30.3)                  |  |  |
| Preexisting disease <sup>a</sup> |                       |                               |                       |                              |  |  |
| Hypertension                     | 4/138 (29.0)          | 1/167 (6.0)                   | 8/138 (58.0)          | 8/167 (47.9)                 |  |  |
| TIA-CVA                          | 0                     | 1/4(250)                      | 0                     | 0                            |  |  |
| COPD/asthma                      | 0                     | 0                             | 1/39 (25.6)           | 1/35(28.6)                   |  |  |
| Obesity                          | 1/27 (37.0)           | 0                             | 1/27 (37.0)           | 3/45 (66.7)                  |  |  |
| Diabetes mellitus                | 3/82 (36.6)           | 1/79 (12.6)                   | 6/82 (73.2.0)         | 0                            |  |  |
| Thyroid disease                  | 1/38 (26.3)           | 2/43 (46.5)                   | 3/38 (78.9)           | 3/43 (70.0)                  |  |  |
| Renal disease                    | 0                     | 1/5 (200)                     | 0                     | 0                            |  |  |
| Neurologic disease               | 0                     | 2/21 (95.2)                   | 1/24(41.7)            | 1/21(47.6)                   |  |  |
| Other disease                    | 1/89 (11.2)           | 0                             | 4/89 (44.8)           | 5/89 (56)                    |  |  |
| No disease                       | 0                     | 0                             | 1/163 (6.1)           | 7/183 (38.2)                 |  |  |

Table 5. Rates of Intraoperative and Postoperative Adverse Events According to Baseline Medical Status

## Body weight - BMI

- A relative risk factor
  - fat composition
    - Apple or pear
    - Increased risk for snoring
      - □ Sleep related ventilation pathology
        - Obstructive sleep apnea
        - Central sleep apnea
        - Hypoventilation
        - ..
    - Compromise ventilation perfusion matching increased shunt
    - Increased risk for a complicated intubation .
      - Neck circumference

Increased volume of drug distribution

## Medications

- General role keep every day medication
  - Diabetes
  - Platelet inhibitors
  - Anticoagulats
    - ACE-inhibitors if prescribed only for hypertension may be withdrawn in order to avoid hypotension
### http://www.diabetes.nhs.uk/areas\_of\_care/emerge ncy\_and\_inpatient/perioperative\_management/



#### Suitability of patients with diabetes for day surgery



Guideline for peri-operative adjustment of insulin (short starvation period – no more than ONE missed meal)

| Insulins   | Day prior to    | Day of surgery   |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | admission       | Patient for<br>AM surgery  | Patient for<br>PM surgery  |  |  |
| Once dally (evening)<br>(e.g. Lantus® or Levemir®.<br>Insulatard®, Humulin I®,<br>Insuman®)  | No dose change* | Check blood glucose<br>on admission  | Check blood glucose<br>on admission  |  |  |
| Once dally (morning)<br>(Lantus® or Levemir®<br>Insulatard®, Humulin I®,<br>Insuman®)  | No dose change  | No dose change*.<br>Check blood glucose<br>on admission  | No dose change*.<br>Check blood glucose<br>on admission  |  |  |
| Twice daily<br>(e.g. Novomix 30°,<br>Humulin M3°<br>Humalog Mix 25°,<br>Humalog Mix 50°,<br>Insuman° Comb 25,<br>Insuman° Comb 50<br>twice daily Levemir° or<br>Lantus°)   | No dose change  | Halve the usual morning<br>dose. Check blood<br>glucose on admission<br>Leave the evening meal<br>dose unchanged   | Halve the usual morning<br>dose. Check blood<br>glucose on admission<br>Leave the evening meal<br>dose unchanged   |  |  |
| Twice dally -<br>separate injections of<br>short acting<br>(e.g. animal neutral,<br>Novorapid® Humulin S®)<br>Apidra®<br>and Intermediate<br>acting<br>(e.g. animal isophane<br>Insulatard®<br>Humulini® Insuman®) | No dose change  | Calculate the total dose<br>of both morning<br>insulins and give half as<br>intermediate acting only<br>in the morning. Check<br>blood glucose on<br>admission<br>Leave the evening meal<br>dose unchanged                             | Calculate the total dose<br>of both morning insulins<br>and give half as<br>intermediate acting only<br>in the morning. Check<br>blood glucose on<br>admission<br>Leave the evening meal<br>dose unchanged |  |  |
| 3, 4, or 5 Injections<br>daily   | No dose change  | Basal bolus regimens:<br>omit the morning and<br>lunchtime short acting<br>insulins. Keep the basal<br>unchanged.*<br>Premixed AM Insulin:<br>halve the morning dose<br>and omit lunchtime dose<br>Check blood glucose on<br>admission | Take usual morning<br>insulin dose(s). Omit<br>lunchtime dose. Check<br>blood glucose on<br>admission  |  |  |

Guideline for peri-operative monitoring of diabetes and management of hyperglycaemia and hypoglycaemia in patients undergoing surgery with a short starvation period (one missed meal)

 These guidelines are for the management of wellcontrolled patients (HbA<sub>1c</sub> <69 mmol/mol or 8.5%) undergoing surgery with a short starvation period.

#### Administration

- Make up a 50 ml syringe with 50 units of soluble human insulin in 49.5mls of 0.9% sodium chloride solution. This makes the concentration of insulin 1 unit per ml.
- The initial crystalloid solution to be coadministered with the VRIII is 0.45% saline with 5% glucose and 0.15% KCI. This should be given via an infusion pump

Type 1 diabetes: give subcutaneous rapid acting analogue insulin (i.e. Novorapid<sup>®</sup>, Humalog<sup>®</sup> or Apidra<sup>®</sup>). Assume that 1 unit will drop blood glucose by 3 mmol/L BUT wherever possible take advice from the patient about the amount of insulin normally required to correct a high blood glucose. Recheck the blood glucose 1 hour later to ensure it is falling. If surgery cannot be delayed commence VRIII.

## http://www.daysurgeryuk.net/bads/joomla/files/Han dbooks/DaySurgeryDiabetic.pdf



### DAY SURGERY & THE DIABETIC PATIENT

GUIDELINES FOR THE ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT OF DIABETES IN DAY SURGERY PATIENTS



#### Table 2. Pre-Operative Checklist For All Diabetic Patients

All usual selection criteria for day surgery met Intermediate surgery can be scheduled for a morning list Patient has no history of Repeated hypoglycaemic attacks Recurrent admission to hospital with complications related to diabetes Patient and carer are able to measure blood glucose at home Patient and carer understand about hypoglycaemia and its treatment HbA1c < 8%

# **Minor procedures**

- For the purposes of this booklet, a minor surgical procedure is defined as one where the patient is expected to resume oral intake within an hour or so of surgery. We anticipate that many day surgery units will wish to limit their management of diabetic patients to those in this category.
- All of these patients can be managed by simply postponing their usual diabetic treatment (insulin or oral hypoglycaemic drugs) until they take a delayed meal after surgery.
  - Obviously it is important that these patients are treated first on the operating list and that blood glucose is monitored closely.

#### Glucose/potassium/insulin (GKI) infusion

1000mls 5% glucose + 20mmol KCl \* + insulin as below:

Blood glucose 4 −11mmol/1 → add 10 units human actrapid Blood glucose > 11mmol/1 → add 16 units human actrapid

Infuse at 100mls/hr using a multilumen IV set connector incorporating a one-way valve so that the cannula can also be used for anaesthetic drugs

# Sweden

- Inf glucose 50 mg/ml 1000 ml, 100 ml/h iv. Without insulin.
- Provide ½ normal dose NPH-insulin (Humulin NPH, Insulatard or Insuman Basal).
- P-glukos >10\* mmol/l <u>consider additional</u>
   Novorapid 2-5-10 E sc.

# Aspirin

Meta analysis show
 Aspirin continuation – 1.5 fold increased risk of bleeding
 Aspirin withdrawal – 3 fold higher risk for cardiac events

 Thus should only be discontinued if the bleeding risk outweighs the potential benefit

# PCI and dual antiplatelet treatment

General management ESC
Balloon angioplasty only

> 14 days

Bare metal stent

> 3smonths

Drug eluting stent

> 12 months

# ..basic

# Information and .....motivated..

"informed consent"

Well-informed and prepared is a better than an anxious and un-understanding patient Crit Care Nurs Q Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 52-58 Copyright © 2008 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

### Pre-Hospital Discharge Planning Empowering Elderly Patients Through Choice

Mary L. Merriman, MSW

This article presents an alternative plan that begins with outpatient education preceding admission and follows the patient throughout the continuum of care including postdischarge.

- Tidsskr Nor Laegeforen.
- 2010 Apr 8;130(7):742-6.
- Ambulatory surgery and anaesthesia
- [Article in Norwegian]
- Raeder J, Nordentoft J.
- Anestesiavdelingen, Oslo universitetssykehus, Ullevål, 0407 Oslo og Institutt for sykehusmedisin Det medisinske fakultet Universitetet i Oslo, Norway. johan.rader@medisin.uio.no

### RESULTS:

- With the advent of modern techniques, anaesthesia is no longer a limiting factor for whether surgery can be performed on an ambulatory basis or not.
  - The decision to hospitalize a patient after elective surgery is based on limitations in the patient's general health, daily functioning and psychosocial status or the type of surgical procedure planned.

- When assessing whether surgery can be elective or not it is valuable to consider the entire treatment chain and ask the following questions:
  - Can this patient who has undergone this procedure be expected to cope with transport and staying at home or in a hotel the same day as the operation, when escorted by an adult until the next day? - and is it safe?

## □ INTERPRETATION:

To ensure good planning and performance, it is important that the anaesthetist has access to up-to-date information on planned surgical procedures, the patient's general health, use of medication, allergies and level of daily functioning. Almost any procedure is possible but....

- Br J Surg. 2010 Mar;97(3):410-4.
- Factors influencing selection for a day-case or 23-h stay procedure in transanal endoscopic microsurgery.
- Ford SJ, Wheeler JM, Borley NR.
- Department of General Surgery, Cheltenham General Hospital, Cheltenham, UK. samuelford@hotmail.co.uk

### Abstract

- BACKGROUND: Transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEMS) is an alternative to radical resection of the rectum for benign lesions and early rectal cancer. This study aimed to identify whether day-case TEMS is safe and which factors dictate patient suitability and length of stay (LOS).
- METHODS: Details of patients undergoing TEMS resection were retrieved from a tertiary referral prospective database.

# RESULTS:

Of 96 patients,

 $\Box$  46 (48 per cent) were day cases,

□ 24 (25 per cent) had a 23-h stay

 $\square$  26 (27 per cent) were inpatients.

The frequency of day-case surgery increased significantly over the study interval (P = 0.050).

- Distance of the lesion from the anorectal junction, malignant potential and travel distance had no bearing on LOS.
- Older age (P = 0.004) and duration of surgery (P = 0.002) correlated significantly with increased LOS.
- Lesions covering one quadrant involved a significantly shorter stay than those covering two or more quadrants (P = 0.002).
- Maximum diameter (mean 5.7 cm) was strongly related to LOS (P = 0.009).
- Day-case and 23-h stay patients had a significantly higher proportion of lower-risk lesions (P = 0.001).

# • CONCLUSION:

High-volume day-case TEMS appears safe, even when long travel distances are involved. With advances in practice and procedural safety, traditional risk factors may not be as important as currently thought.



#### ENHANCED RECOVERY AFTER COLORECTAL SURGERY. RESULTS FROM A PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL TWO-CENTRE STUDY

A. C. Mohn<sup>1</sup>, S. V. Bernardshaw<sup>2</sup>, S.-M. Ristesund<sup>3</sup>, P. E. Hovde Hansen<sup>1</sup>, O. Røkke<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Surgery, Haugesund Hospital, Haugesund, Norway

- <sup>2</sup> Department of Surgery, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Gynaecology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Surgery, Akershus University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- <sup>5</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Norway

cum más not a ramasie (o/i

We used the peri-operative principles recommended by Kehlet et al. (5). One week before the operation, the patients and their relative(s) received thorough information from a special study-nurse and surgeon about the recovery-design. The day of discharge was planned (4) with emphasis on safety (1, 8, 9) and collaboration with the local health-care system. The patients were allowed a normal diet with an oral nutritional supplement (ONS) of protein the day before the operation and up to six hours before surgery, and allowed to drink clear fluids until two hours before surgery. Information and proper planing

A specialised nurse arranged the postoperative mobilisation. On the day of surgery, the patients were mobilised out of bed for two hours. On the first postoperative day, the patient was out of bed for eight hours. The EDA continued for 48 hours postoperatively, to give postoperative analgesia, to enhance mobilisation and as prophylaxis of ileus and to promote GI-motility. In addition, the patients received one gram of paracetamol four times daily and per oral NSAIDs. Opiate administration was restricted and additional opiate was given only when other efforts failed. J Gastrointest Surg (2009) 13:2321–2329 DOI 10.1007/s11605-009-0927-2

of Randomized Trials

#### **REVIEW ARTICLE**

### Less complication following enhanced discharge

#### Cagla Eskicioglu • Shawn S. Forbes • Mary-Anne Aarts • Allan Okrainec • Robin S. McLeod

**Enhanced Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) Programs** 

for Patients Having Colorectal Surgery: A Meta-analysis

|                                   | ERAS Prog       | grams     | TPC       | :     |        | <b>Risk Ratio</b>  |        | Risk         | Ratio       |      |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------------|-------------|------|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events          | Total     | Events    | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% C  | 1      | M-H, Fix     | ed, 95% Cl  |      |
| Anderson 2003                     | 0               | 14        | 1         | 11    | 35.8%  | 0.27 [0.01, 5.97]  | -22    |              |             |      |
| Gatt 2005                         | 1               | 19        | 0         | 20    | 10.5%  | 3.15 [0.14, 72.88] |        |              |             |      |
| Khoo 2007                         | 0               | 35        | 2         | 35    | 53.7%  | 0.20 [0.01, 4.02]  | •      | -            |             |      |
| Total (95% CI)                    |                 | 68        |           | 66    | 100.0% | 0.53 [0.12, 2.38]  |        |              | -           |      |
| Total events                      | 1               |           | 3         |       |        |                    |        |              | 605         |      |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 1.83, df = 2 (F | P = 0.40) | ; 12 = 0% |       |        |                    | 0.01   |              |             | 100  |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 0.82 (P =   | 0.41)     |           |       |        | F                  | avours | evnerimental | Favours con | trol |

Figure 2 Pooled analysis of postoperative mortality, ERAS vs. traditional perioperative care (TPC).

|                                   | ERAS Prog       | grams                | TPC                   | ;     |        | <b>Risk Ratio</b> |                | Risk                | Ratio       |       |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-------|--------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------|-------|
| Study or Subgroup                 | Events          | Total                | Events                | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% C |                | M-H, Fixe           | ed, 95% Cl  |       |
| Anderson 2003                     | 4               | 14                   | 5                     | 11    | 12.2%  | 0.63 [0.22, 1.80] |                |                     | <u></u>     |       |
| Delaney 2003                      | 7               | 31                   | 10                    | 33    | 21.1%  | 0.75 [0.32, 1.71] |                |                     |             |       |
| Gatt 2005                         | 8               | 19                   | 15                    | 20    | 31.8%  | 0.56 [0.31, 1.01] |                |                     | +           |       |
| Khoo 2007                         | 9               | 35                   | 16                    | 35    | 34.9%  | 0.56 [0.29, 1.10] |                |                     | -           |       |
| Total (95% CI)                    |                 | 99                   |                       | 99    | 100.0% | 0.61 [0.42, 0.88] |                | •                   |             |       |
| Total events                      | 28              |                      | 46                    |       |        |                   |                |                     |             |       |
| Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = | 0.36, df = 3 (F | <sup>o</sup> = 0.95) | ; l <sup>2</sup> = 0% |       |        |                   | 0.01           | 01                  |             | 100   |
| Test for overall effect:          | Z = 2.63 (P =   | 0.009)               |                       |       |        | Fa                | 0.01<br>avours | 0.1<br>experimental | Favours col | ntrol |

Figure 3 Pooled analysis of total complications (major and minor), ERAS vs. traditional perioperative care (TPC).

# Information and motivation

#### Accelerated Rehabilitation

There are 2 factors that permit patients to participate in an accelerated rehabilitation program. The first, and perhaps most important, is the motivated patient. Even with some pain that most patients would consider unbearable, the motivated patient can power through. By extension, the second necessary factor for most patients is achieving adequate postoperative pain control. The focus of any rehabilitation protocol should be to control pain because this is the variable the surgeon can manipulate [11]. No amount of encouragement or education can convert unmotivated patients into motivated ones, especially if they are experiencing pain.

+ adequate pain relief

### Pain Management and Accelerated Rehabilitation for Total Hip and Total Knee Arthroplasty

Amar S. Ranawat. MD.\*† and Chitranjan S. Ranawat, MD†‡

Table 1. Preoperative

Preemptive Analgesia Given Preoperatively

1. Celecoxib 400 mg orally

2. Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally

3. Tramadol 50 mg

4. Oxycodone 20 mg orally

- 5. Pantoprazole 40 mg orally
- 6. Warfarin 5 mg orally

#### Table 2. Intraoperative

| Intraoperative | Injection |
|----------------|-----------|
|----------------|-----------|

| 1. 0.5% Bupivacaine  | 200-400 mg  |
|--|-------------|
| 2. Morphine sulphate (0.4-1.0 cc)                              | 4-10 mg     |
| 3. Epinephrine 1/1000 (0.3 cc)                                 | 300 µg      |
| <ol><li>Methylprednisolone acetate</li></ol>                   | 40 mg       |
| 5. Cefuroxime (10 cc)  | 750 mg      |
| 6. Normal saline   | 22 cc       |
| No steroids in diabetic/immunocompromis                        | ed patients |
| Vancomycin if allergic to penicillin                           | Street to a |
| Clonidine transdermal patch applied in ope<br>room—100 µg/24 h | erating     |
|  |             |

Injection sites for intraoperative periarticular injection

Table 3. Postoperative

Postoperative Analgesia/Medications

#### Recovery room

- 1. Ketorolac IV every 6 h (15 mg if age >65 y, 30 mg if <65 y, hold if with renal impairment)
- 2. If ketorolac ineffective, morphine 2-4 mg IV every 15 min

3. Metoclopramide 10 mg IV PRN

Orthopedic floor

- 1. Ketorolac IM every 6 h PRN (15 mg if age >65 y, 30 mg if <65 y, hold if with renal impairment)
- 2. If ketorolac ineffective, morphine 2-4 mg IM every 2-4 h
- 3. Celecoxib 200 mg orally daily for 10 d
- 4. Oxycodone SR 10/20 mg orally every 12 h for 48 h
- 5. Oxycodone 5 mg orally every 6 h PRN
- 6. Acetaminophen 1000 mg orally every 6 h
- 7. Pantoprazole 40 mg orally daily

PRN, as needed; SR, sustained release.

# Day Surgery in the Elderly

### Outpatient total hip arthroplasty.

- Dorr LD, Thomas DJ, Zhu J, Dastane M, Chao L, Long WT.
- J Arthroplasty. 2010 Jun;25(4):501-6.
- The Arthritis Institute at Good Samaritan Hospital, Los Angeles, CA 90017, USA.
- Abstract
- Patients younger than 65 years were studied to determine what percentage of patients would enroll in a study of outpatient total hip arthroplasty, its safety, and benefits of the program.
- Of 192 eligible patients, <u>69 (36%) enrolled, and 53 (77%) of these</u> went home the same day of surgery.
- Of 53, 44 maintained a diary for the first 3, weeks and 52 completed a satisfaction questionnaire at 6 weeks. Patients were followed for 6 months for occurrence of complications.
- There were no medical readmissions.
- Of 52 patients who completed a 6 week questionnaire, 50 (96%) were satisfied with the decision to have outpatient total hip arthroplasty. There were no objective physical benefits identified.
- This study reports the distribution of acceptance and completion of same day discharge for patients with total hip arthroplasty in a metropolitan population. It confirms safety in selected patients.

### Day case assessment guide



# **Procedure-specific risk**

- High-risk surgery (the highest-risk category and also the most extensively studied), which has been associated with cardiac morbidity rates of greater than 5% in many reports.
  - Examples include aortic and other major vascular surgery, as well as peripheral vascular surgery.
- Intermediate-risk surgery, for which reported cardiac morbidity rates range from 1% to 5%.
  - Examples include intraperitoneal and intrathoracic procedures, carotid endarterectomy, head and neck surgery, orthopedic surgery, and prostate surgery.

# Low-risk surgery, for which reported cardiac morbidity rates are below 1%.

Examples include endoscopic and superficial procedures, cataract surgery, breast surgery, and ambulatory surgery.

# Patient in focus



# **Anaesthetic service**

### The perioperative multi-modal approach



# Multi-modal approach in conjuction to day surgery

Intensity opioid ocal anaesthetics anaesthesia peripheral acting analgecics" Time.. Anti-emetic strategy

- Female
- History of PONV
   motionsickness
- Non-smoking
- Need for postoperative opioid

- Steroid
- Droperidol
- Metaclopramide
- 5-HT-3-blocker
  - Considere the need for a rescue anti-emetic

# Preoperative assessment

Exchange of information

 Patient to healthcare
 Healthcare to patients

 Optimisation of medical conditions
 Planning for optimal care

 Minimising risk

# "take home message"

### Patient related risk

The risk for any adverse event related to any exacerbation of patient known medical history

### Surgical related risks

- Complexity and duration of surgery
  - Bleed
  - Wound
  - Infectious
- Risk of (severe) PONV
- Risk for severe pain
- Thrombo-embolic risk
- ..the composite risk and subsequent need for prolonged hospital observation and surveillance

#### 36. This answer comes from

Antal svarande: 66


## 2. Preoperative assessment is commonly done for day case patients Antal svarande: 65



### 3. Preoperative assessment is done by

Antal svarande: 66



### 4. Do you use a structured leaflet for patient's self assessment?





## 5. Do you have an explicit/defined BMI limit for day case patient?

Antal svarande: 66



7. Do you have an explicit/defined upper age limit for day case patient? Antal svarande: 66



9. Do you have an explicit/defined lower age limit for day case patient? Antal svarande: 66



# Preoperative assessment

- Exchange of information
  - Patient to healthcare
  - □ Healthcare to patients
- Optimisation of medical conditions
- Planning for optimal care

Suitable or not suitable?

## **Patient selection**

Preoperative assessment of patients scheduled for day surgery

# Enhanced/accelerated recovery - **Day surgery** Patient selection

- ✓ No absolute borders
- ✓ Optimization

 Secure on an individual base adequate benefit risk balance;

...would safety and/or quality of care <u>improve</u> <u>significantly</u> if the patients was done as an inpatients?

# ... thank you for your attention